Eduvest – Journal of Universal Studies
Volume 6 Number 7, July, 2026
p- ISSN 2775-3735 - e-ISSN 2775-3727

The Role of International Legal Cooperation in Combating Transnational Drug Networks in Indonesia

Dody Cindur Mato*, Muhammad Syaroni Rofii
Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia
Email: dodycindurmato.imi@gmail.com*, muhammadsyaroni@ui.ac.id

Keywords
International Legal Cooperation; Transnational Narcotics; Law Enforcement; Indonesia.

ABSTRACT
Transnational narcotics crime represents a serious threat to national security and social stability in Indonesia. The cross-border nature of this crime makes international legal cooperation an essential instrument in combating transnational narcotics networks. This study aimed to analyze the role and implementation of international legal cooperation in addressing transnational narcotics networks in Indonesia, identify legal, institutional, and technical challenges, and formulate effective and sustainable strategies to strengthen cooperation mechanisms. This research employed a qualitative method using a normative juridical approach and an analysis of international cooperation practices. The findings indicated that, although Indonesia has actively participated in various international cooperation mechanisms, their effectiveness remains constrained by differences in legal systems, limitations in extradition procedures and mutual legal assistance (mutual legal assistance), and inadequate institutional coordination. Therefore, strengthening strategies are required, including harmonizing national legislation, optimizing international cooperation frameworks, and improving institutional capacity and law enforcement technology.

INTRODUCTION

Globalization and technological developments have transformed the nature of illicit trade, including narcotics trafficking (Krylova, 2024). Narcotics are increasingly produced, distributed, and marketed by organized criminal networks that exploit jurisdictional gaps, complex international logistics chains, and advances in digital communication technologies. Indonesia, as an archipelagic country with extensive maritime routes and a large domestic market, faces a dual threat: becoming both a destination country and a transit corridor for illicit goods supplied by transnational criminal organizations. This situation is not merely theoretical, as empirical evidence and various criminal cases demonstrate a significant escalation of transnational narcotics crimes in the region.

The scale of the narcotics problem in Indonesia can be assessed through two primary indicators: the prevalence of drug users and the number of narcotics seizures. The National Narcotics Agency's National Prevalence Survey reported that, in 2023, the prevalence of narcotics abuse reached approximately 3.33 million people, or around 1.73% of the population. Although this percentage appears relatively low, the number represents a significant burden on national health services and law enforcement systems (Pasuluk, 2024). The high number of users also indicates the potential attractiveness of Indonesia's domestic market for transnational narcotics networks.

From the supply perspective, law enforcement trends indicate an increase in the volume of narcotics seizures, reflecting the expanding scale of international syndicate operations in the region. The Indonesian government reported the destruction of more than 214.8 tons of seized narcotics during the period from October 2024 to October 2025, indicating that transnational networks continue attempting to introduce large quantities of narcotics into Indonesian territory while authorities continue detecting and disrupting these operations. Meanwhile, regional data from UNODC demonstrates the widespread distribution of methamphetamine and other synthetic drugs in Southeast Asia, with significant quantities seized across the region in recent years. The combination of user prevalence data and seizure volumes illustrates Indonesia's dual challenges: domestic demand and transnational supply pressures.

Internationally reported enforcement cases further confirm the cross-border characteristics of narcotics crimes. In recent years, several operations involving foreign nationals and international trafficking routes have been uncovered, including the arrest and conviction of an Australian national involved in cocaine smuggling in Bali following the discovery of 1.7 kilograms of cocaine, as well as the arrest of Argentine and British nationals connected to cocaine transportation through Ngurah Rai International Airport. These cases demonstrate trafficking methods involving postal services, international courier networks, and the exploitation of tourism channels as distribution mechanisms. Beyond their legal implications, such cases may also affect perceptions of tourism security and investment conditions in affected regions (Laksito et al., 2024).

Changes in criminal patterns are also reflected in the methods and technologies utilized by perpetrators. UNODC reports and regional studies highlight the increasing use of synthetic drug production, including methamphetamine and synthetic precursors, as well as the convergence between narcotics trafficking and cyber-enabled crime. Such methods include the use of the dark web, cryptocurrency transactions, and legitimate international logistics services to conceal illicit shipments (Prayuda & Harto, 2020). These developments create additional challenges for conventional law enforcement approaches that rely primarily on physical border controls and traditional intelligence-sharing mechanisms.

From a legal and institutional perspective, Indonesia has ratified significant international instruments related to narcotics control and established a strict domestic legal framework for combating narcotics trafficking (Herindrasti, 2025). However, the implementation of international legal cooperation continues to face several challenges, including differences between national legal systems, complex extradition procedures, limited forensic and intelligence capabilities, and bureaucratic obstacles in the Mutual Legal Assistance (MLA) mechanism (Diantha et al., 2023). These challenges often hinder efforts to prosecute high-level actors and network controllers operating outside Indonesia's jurisdiction.

Furthermore, the regional dimension requires particular attention. Southeast Asia has become a major production and transit region for synthetic drugs, while regional frameworks such as ASEAN face challenges in balancing the principle of non-intervention with the necessity of legal harmonization and cross-border enforcement cooperation (Mufhty, 2025). This situation creates a gap between international legal norms that encourage cooperation and regional practices that remain fragmented due to differences in political commitment, institutional capacity, and national priorities. Without strategies combining legal harmonization, technical capacity development, and efficient intelligence-sharing mechanisms, countermeasures will remain reactive and less effective against increasingly adaptive criminal networks (Wirandy & Syaufi, 2025).

The novelty of this study lies in its comprehensive analysis of the role, implementation, obstacles, and strengthening strategies of international legal cooperation in combating transnational narcotics networks in Indonesia by integrating normative legal analysis with an examination of cooperation practices. Unlike previous studies that have examined these aspects separately, this research analyzes three dimensions simultaneously: the role of international cooperation, the obstacles encountered, and strategies for strengthening cooperation mechanisms. This study applies an interdisciplinary approach by combining transnational organized crime theory, international legal cooperation theory, and legal effectiveness theory to provide a comprehensive understanding of the issue. Furthermore, this research identifies specific strategies for strengthening international cooperation that are tailored to Indonesia's legal and institutional context.

Based on this empirical foundation, examining the role of international legal cooperation in addressing transnational narcotics networks in Indonesia is not only academically relevant but also politically urgent. Research integrating international legal norms, case studies of major prosecutions, and institutional evaluations can generate practical recommendations, including harmonization of extradition and MLA procedures, enhancement of forensic and intelligence capabilities, and development of cross-border operations that respect state sovereignty while effectively targeting criminal network controllers. Only through an integrated approach involving multiple levels (international, regional, and national) and multiple actors (BNN, police, customs authorities, and foreign law enforcement agencies) can Indonesia effectively reduce narcotics supply pressures and mitigate their social impacts.

Based on the background described above, this study addresses three main research questions. First, what is the role and implementation of international legal cooperation in handling transnational narcotics networks operating in Indonesia? Second, what legal, institutional, and technical obstacles does Indonesia face in implementing international legal cooperation to combat transnational narcotics networks? Third, what strategies can strengthen international legal cooperation effectively and sustainably to improve efforts to combat transnational narcotics crimes in Indonesia?

In accordance with these research questions, this study aimed to comprehensively analyze the role of international legal cooperation in addressing transnational narcotics crimes in Indonesia through international legal instruments and operational cooperation among states. Furthermore, this study sought to identify and evaluate obstacles faced by Indonesia in implementing international legal cooperation, including differences in national legal systems, limitations in extradition and Mutual Legal Assistance mechanisms, and coordination challenges among law enforcement institutions. Finally, this study aimed to formulate strategic recommendations based on international law and national policies to strengthen Indonesia's capacity to combat transnational narcotics networks in an integrated, sustainable manner while maintaining respect for the principle of state sovereignty.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative research method using a normative juridical approach complemented by limited empirical analysis. The qualitative approach was selected because the research focused not only on written legal norms but also on the implementation, effectiveness, and dynamics of international legal cooperation in addressing transnational narcotics networks in Indonesia.

The qualitative method enabled an in-depth understanding of transnational narcotics crimes as complex legal, social, and political phenomena (Djatmiko, 2024). Cross-border narcotics crimes require contextual analysis of interactions among states, law enforcement institutions, and international legal mechanisms rather than solely relying on statistical measurement. Therefore, this study emphasized the interpretation of legal norms, analysis of legal policies, and understanding of cooperation practices.

The normative juridical approach was applied to examine the international and national legal frameworks governing the handling of transnational narcotics crimes. Through this approach, the study analyzed relevant international legal instruments, including narcotics control conventions, principles of international cooperation, extradition mechanisms, and mutual legal assistance procedures. In addition, the study examined Indonesian laws and regulations that serve as the basis for implementing international legal obligations. This approach was used to evaluate the extent to which Indonesia’s legal framework has been harmonized with international legal regimes in combating transnational narcotics networks.

This study uses several main theoretical approaches as the basis for analysis, namely:

1. **Transnational Organized Crime Theory**
   This theory is used to understand narcotics as part of organized crime that is cross-country, has a hierarchical or *network-based structure*, has a clear division of roles, and the main goal is to obtain economic benefits. This theory helps explain why narcotics crimes are difficult to deal with with national law alone, as the main perpetrators are often outside the jurisdiction of the country where the crime was committed (Puro, 2022).
2. **International Legal Cooperation Theory**
   This theory emphasizes that in dealing with transnational crimes, the state cannot act unilaterally (Latipulhayat, 2021). International cooperation is a must to bridge differences in jurisdictions, legal systems, and national interests. This theory is used to analyze the form, mechanism, and effectiveness of Indonesia's international legal cooperation with other countries and international organizations in handling transnational narcotics.
3. **Legal Effectiveness Theory**
   Legal effectiveness theory is used to assess the extent to which international and national legal norms are actually implemented and have a real impact in practice. Through this theory, the research evaluates the gap between *law in the books* and *law in action*, especially in the context of extradition of perpetrators, intelligence exchange, and cross-border enforcement of narcotics networks.

The three theories are used integratively to provide a comprehensive analysis, not only at the normative level, but also on the implementation and impact aspects.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Role and Implementation of International Legal Cooperation in Handling Transnational Narcotics Networks

International legal cooperation plays a **strategic and crucial** role in Indonesia's efforts to deal with narcotics networks operating across borders. Transnational narcotics crimes are highly dynamic and cross-jurisdictional, so they cannot be effectively dealt with with national legal instruments alone. Indonesia has been aware of this for a long time and is taking systematic steps to strengthen international cooperation as part of its narcotics eradication strategy.

1. **Enforcement of International Instruments**

   Indonesia has ratified major international instruments in the eradication of narcotics trafficking, especially the *United Nations Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances 1988*. This instrument serves as a legal basis to encourage cooperation among countries to the parties, including the exchange of information, requests for *mutual legal assistance (MLA*), extradition of perpetrators, and harmonization of national regulations. This ratification affirms Indonesia's commitment to international legal norms to eradicate cross-border narcotics networks and strengthen the legal basis for enforcement at the domestic level (Mitchell, 2021).

2. **Collaboration with International Agencies**

   The role of international cooperation is also seen through Indonesia's involvement with international bodies such as *the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime* (UNODC) (Bunga, A., & Bunga 2025). Discussions and collaborations with UNODC helped Indonesia expand access to global data, narcotics trafficking intelligence, and law enforcement capacity training relevant to current narcotics crime trends. Through this cooperation, Indonesia can develop a stronger national narcotics database to support the exchange of intelligence information and evidence-based countermeasures (Harkrisnowo, 2021).

3. **Bilateral and Regional Cooperation**

   International cooperation is also realized through close bilateral relations with partner countries in handling narcotics trafficking (Obokata, 2021). For example, the meeting between Indonesia's National Narcotics Agency (BNN) and Singapore's *Central Narcotics Bureau* (CNB) showed the commitment of the two countries to exchange data and strategies on narcotics eradication, exchange experiences, and map narcotics distribution routes in the Southeast Asian region. This kind of cooperation is important because Singapore is often a strategic transit and information center related to international narcotics networks (Farras, 2024). This cooperation is also seen in the Indonesia-Philippines relationship which demonstrates the effectiveness of law enforcement collaboration, although it still faces major challenges in securing complex borders and limited resources. The main focus of the collaboration is to improve security at border points and remote routes, as well as to jointly handle rapidly changing smuggling routes.

4. **Joint Operations and Intelligence Exchange**

The role of international legal cooperation is also seen in joint operations between Indonesian law enforcement officials and other countries. A concrete example is a joint operation that succeeded in thwarting large-scale narcotics smuggling such as the seizure of two tons of methamphetamine led by BNN with the support of the Indonesian Navy and the Directorate General of Customs and Excise (Siahaan, 2023). The operation shows that intelligence exchange between countries and maritime monitoring by various parties are important elements in cutting transnational networks.

In addition, Indonesian law enforcement collaboration has also involved international law enforcement agencies such as *the United States Drug Enforcement Administration* (DEA), especially in handling narcotics cases involving the Iran-Pakistan network that operates across countries. Such efforts involve the exchange of intelligence data, joint investigation techniques, and the division of analytical tasks to explore the wider network.

Table 1. The Role and Implementation of International Legal Cooperation in Handling Transnational Narcotics Networks

Form of Cooperation	Implementation by Indonesia	Contribution to Transnational Narcotics Handling
Enforcement of International Legal Instruments	Ratifikasi *United Nations Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance 1988*	It is the legal basis for information exchange, MLS, extradition and harmonization of national laws in cross-border narcotics enforcement
Collaboration with International Agencies	Cooperation with UNODC in data exchange, global intelligence and capacity building of law enforcement officials	Strengthen the national narcotics database and encourage *evidence-based policies*
Bilateral and Regional Cooperation	BNN-CNB Singapore and Indonesia-Philippines cooperation in data exchange, distribution route mapping and border security	Improve early detection, disconnection of distribution lines and surveillance of smuggling-prone areas
Joint Law Enforcement Operations	Joint Operation of BNN, TNI NAVY, Customs and international partners	Increasing the effectiveness of enforcement and the success of disclosure of large-scale narcotics cases
International Intelligence Exchange	Collaboration with foreign law enforcement agencies such as the United States DEA	Expanding the scope of international narcotics networks and uncovering cross-border organizational structures

Obstacles to International Legal Cooperation in Handling Transnational Narcotics in Indonesia

International legal cooperation is a key instrument in efforts to eradicate transnational narcotics crimes (Kartika, 2023). However, although Indonesia has been actively involved in various international cooperation mechanisms and ratified a number of global legal instruments, the implementation of such cooperation has not been fully optimal. This is due to various structural and systemic constraints, which can be classified into three main groups, namely legal constraints, institutional constraints, and operational technical constraints. These three types of obstacles are interrelated and collectively affect the effectiveness of international legal cooperation in tackling transnational narcotics networks operating in Indonesian territory.

1. **Legal Constraints in International Legal Cooperation**

   1) **Differences in Legal Systems and Jurisdictions Between Countries**

      One of the most fundamental legal obstacles is the difference in legal systems between Indonesia and partner countries. Indonesia adheres to *a civil law system*, while many of the main partner countries in the fight against transnational narcotics, such as the United States, the United Kingdom, and Australia, use *the common law system*. This difference has a direct impact on the evidentiary mechanism, investigation procedures, and standards for accepting evidence (Yolanda, 2024).

      In international legal cooperation, differences in legal systems often hinder the process of *mutual legal assistance*, especially when Indonesia's requests do not meet the procedural standards of partner countries (Yuantisya, 2025). As a result, the request can be delayed or even denied, thus weakening the law enforcement process against the perpetrators of narcotics networks outside Indonesia's jurisdiction.

   2) **The Problem of Extradition of Criminals**

      Another significant legal obstacle is the limitation of the extradition mechanism. Not all countries have extradition treaties with Indonesia, so it is difficult for transnational narcotics perpetrators in certain countries to be held legally accountable in Indonesia (Naibaho, 2023). In addition, differences in views on the type of crime, especially the existence of the death penalty in the Indonesian legal system, are often the reason why other countries refuse the extradition of their citizens (Bunga, 2025).

      This situation creates a legal loophole that can be exploited by international narcotics networks to take refuge in countries that have strict extradition policies or do not have agreements with Indonesia.

   3) **Differences in Interpretation of International Legal Instruments**

      Although Indonesia and partner countries are both bound by international conventions in the field of narcotics, there are differences in interpretation in their implementation. Some countries place the protection of human rights as a top priority, while others place more emphasis on the enforcement aspect. This difference in approach often causes insynchronization in the implementation of cooperation, especially in the exchange of intelligence data and the cross-border prosecution process (Batkormbawa, 2025).

2. **Institutional Constraints in the Implementation of Cooperation**
   1) **Coordination between Law Enforcement Agencies**
      Institutionally, international legal cooperation involves many institutions in the country, such as the National Narcotics Agency, the National Police of the Republic of Indonesia, the Directorate General of Customs and Excise, the Prosecutor's Office, and the Ministry of Law and Human Rights. However, coordination between these institutions has not been fully integrated into one solid system (Fikri, 2024).
      Differences in authority, internal procedures, and institutional interests often lead to delays in decision-making, especially when it comes to responding to requests for legal aid from abroad or submitting similar requests to other countries (Purba, 2025). This condition slows down the enforcement process, while the narcotics network moves very quickly and adaptively.
   2) **Limited Human Resource Capacity**
      Another institutional obstacle is the limited capacity of human resources in the field of international law. Not all law enforcement officials have an adequate understanding of international cooperation mechanisms, cross-border legal assistance procedures, and the effective use of international legal instruments.
      The lack of specialized training in the field of international law and transnational crimes has an impact on the low quality of requests for legal assistance, administrative errors, and the slow process of communication with international partners.
   3) **Institutional Fragmentation in International Relations**
      International cooperation is often carried out sectorally by individual institutions, without a strong national coordination framework. As a result, there is policy fragmentation and duplication of efforts, which actually reduces the effectiveness of cooperation. This condition shows the need to strengthen national coordination mechanisms in international legal cooperation in the field of narcotics.
3. **Technical Operational Obstacles in the Field**
   1) **Difficulties in Collecting and Exchanging Evidence**
      Technically, one of the biggest challenges is the collection and exchange of cross-border evidence. Transnational narcotics crimes often involve digital evidence, electronic transactions, and encrypted communications that reside in multiple jurisdictions. Differences in legal standards related to electronic evidence make it difficult to prove in court.
      In addition, the formal process of exchanging evidence through international cooperation channels often takes a long time, so evidence can potentially be lost or irrelevant at the time of use.
   2) **Very Fast Modus Operandi Development**
      Transnational narcotics networks continue to develop new modus operandi to evade detection, including the use of digital technologies, cryptocurrencies, and international logistics systems. The speed of adaptation of this network is often not matched by the speed of response to international legal cooperation, which is still procedural and bureaucratic.

3) **Geographic Challenges and Surveillance Infrastructure**
Indonesia, as an archipelagic country with a large sea area, faces major technical challenges in border surveillance. Limited patrol facilities, maritime monitoring technology, and cross-border data integration make Indonesia's waters vulnerable to being used as an international narcotics smuggling route.

Table 2. Obstacles to International Legal Cooperation in Transnational Narcotics Countermeasures

Types of Constraints	Forms of Constraints	Impact on Law Enforcement
Legal Constraints	Differences in legal systems and jurisdictions between countries	Requests for mutual legal assistance (MLAs) are often delayed or denied
	Limitations of extradition treaties and rejection due to the death penalty	Perpetrators are difficult to hold legally accountable in Indonesia
	Differences in the interpretation of international legal instruments	Insynchronization of prosecution, intelligence exchange and prosecution process
Institutional Constraints	Weak coordination between law enforcement agencies	Slow decision-making and ineffective law enforcement response
	Limitations of human resource capacity in the field of international law	Administrative errors and low quality of requests for legal aid
	Institutional fragmentation in international cooperation	Duplication of policies and weak national coordination frameworks
Operational Technical Constraints	Difficulties in collecting and exchanging evidence across countries	Evidence is not optimal or loses relevance in the judicial process
	Rapid development of the modus operandi of narcotics networks	Authorities lag behind in detecting and cracking down on crime
	Geographical challenges and limitations of surveillance infrastructure	Border areas and the sea are vulnerable to being used as smuggling routes

The accumulation of legal, institutional, and technical obstacles has a direct impact on the effectiveness of international legal cooperation. Prosecution of the main perpetrators of narcotics networks is often hampered, while law enforcement officials deal more with perpetrators in the field. This causes narcotics eradication to tend to be reactive and has not touched the roots of the international network as a whole.

Based on the above description, it can be concluded that the obstacles in international legal cooperation faced by Indonesia are complex and multidimensional. Differences in legal systems, limitations in extradition mechanisms, weak institutional coordination, limited human resources, and operational technical challenges are the main factors that hinder the effectiveness of handling transnational narcotics networks. Therefore, strengthening international legal cooperation must be carried out comprehensively, not only on the normative aspect, but also on strengthening the institutions and technical capacity of law enforcement.

Strategy to Strengthen Effective and Sustainable International Legal Cooperation

Strengthening international legal cooperation is a very important strategic step in increasing the effectiveness of countering transnational narcotics crimes in Indonesia. Narcotics crimes are no longer local, but involve an organized cross-border network, ranging from production, distribution, to laundering the proceeds of crime. Therefore, Indonesia cannot handle it unilaterally, but rather requires strong, structured, and sustainable legal cooperation with other countries and international organizations.

1. **Strengthening the Legal Framework and Harmonizing National Regulations with International Law**

   The fundamental strategy in strengthening international legal cooperation lies in the conformity and harmonization between Indonesian national law and the international legal regime that regulates the countering of narcotics crimes. Indonesia has ratified various international conventions in the field of narcotics, but the implementation of these norms in national law still faces challenges, especially in the procedural and technical aspects of cross-border law enforcement.

   National legal harmonization aims to ensure that mechanisms of international cooperation, such as extradition and *mutual legal assistance*, can be implemented without significant juridical barriers. Inconsistencies between national law and international obligations often lead to delays in legal proceedings or rejection of requests for assistance by partner countries. Therefore, the renewal of national laws and regulations is a strategic step so that Indonesia is able to adapt to the dynamics of transnational narcotics crimes that continue to develop.

   In addition, harmonization is also important to avoid legal loopholes used by international narcotics networks. With national laws aligned with international standards, Indonesia can strengthen the legitimacy of its law enforcement in the eyes of other countries and increase trust in cross-border cooperation.

2. **Optimization of Extradition Mechanism and Mutual Legal Assistance**

   Extradition and mutual legal assistance are key instruments in international legal cooperation. However, the effectiveness of these two mechanisms is highly dependent on legal agreements between the countries involved. The strategy of strengthening international cooperation needs to be directed at optimizing existing extradition treaties and MLAs, as well as expanding the network of agreements with countries that are sources or transit routes of narcotics (Ristanti & Nirmala, 2025).

   One of the strategic steps is the development of a more flexible approach to extradition agreements, especially regarding differences in the legal system and criminal policy. This approach allows Indonesia and partner countries to continue to work together without compromising the principles of sovereignty and the protection of human rights. In addition, simplifying administrative procedures in submitting extradition requests and MLAs will speed up the process of handling transnational narcotics cases that often require a quick response.

The optimization of this mechanism must also be accompanied by increasing the capacity of law enforcement officials in preparing requests for legal assistance in accordance with international standards. Thus, the quality and effectiveness of legal cooperation can be significantly improved.

3. **Strengthening National Institutional Coordination**

The strategy of strengthening international legal cooperation cannot be separated from institutional conditions at the national level. The handling of transnational narcotics crimes involves various state agencies with different authorities, so that strong coordination is the main prerequisite for the success of international cooperation.

The establishment of an integrated national coordination mechanism, such as a cross-agency task force, is a strategic step to ensure that any requests and responses to international cooperation are addressed in a coordinated manner. This mechanism serves as a center for decision-making and information management, thereby reducing the potential for overlapping authority and conflicts of interest between institutions.

Good coordination will also improve the consistency of national policies in international cooperation. With one clear voice and strategy, Indonesia can appear more solid in international forums and improve bargaining positions in legal cooperation negotiations with other countries.

4. **Increasing the Capacity of Human Resources of Law Enforcement Officers**

Human resources are a key factor in the success of international legal cooperation. Law enforcement officials who understand international law, cross-border cooperation mechanisms, and the dynamics of global narcotics crime will be able to carry out cooperation more effectively and professionally.

Human resource capacity building strategies need to be carried out on an ongoing basis through special training, further education, and knowledge exchange programs with partner countries. This training not only covers legal aspects, but also technical skills such as intelligence analysis, digital forensics, and asset tracing from narcotics crimes.

In addition, strengthening the capacity of human resources must also be directed at improving international communication and negotiation skills. Officials who are able to interact effectively with foreign partners will speed up the cooperation process and reduce misunderstandings that often occur due to differences in the legal system and legal culture.

5. **Utilization of Technology and Integrated Information Systems**

The development of information technology opens up great opportunities to strengthen international legal cooperation. Strategies to strengthen cooperation must include the use of digital technology for information exchange, data processing, and cross-border operational coordination.

An integrated information system allows law enforcement officials to access data in real-time, both from within the country and from international partners. Integration with international databases, such as those of INTERPOL and UNODC, will enhance the ability to detect early detection of transnational narcotics network movements.

The use of technology is also important to keep pace with the development of increasingly sophisticated narcotics network modus operandi, including the use of encrypted communications and digital transactions. With adequate technology, international legal cooperation can move faster and more adaptively.

6. **Strengthening Operational and Regional Cooperation**

The strategy of strengthening international legal cooperation must be complemented by real operational cooperation on the ground. Joint operations between countries, joint patrols, and intelligence exchanges are forms of cooperation that have proven effective in cutting off transnational narcotics trafficking routes.

In the regional context, Indonesia has a strategic role in the Southeast Asian region. Strengthening cooperation within the framework of ASEAN is an important step to deal with narcotics networks operating across borders. Indonesia can encourage the establishment of regional standards in narcotics law enforcement and strengthen information exchange mechanisms and legal assistance at the regional level.

Strong regional cooperation will narrow the space for transnational narcotics networks and increase the effectiveness of collective crackdowns.

7. **Sustainable and Long-Term Policy-Based Approach**

Successful international legal cooperation cannot be achieved through short-term policies. An effective strategy must be designed as a long-term policy that is sustainable and adaptive to global change.

The sustainable approach includes periodic evaluations of the effectiveness of international cooperation, policy adjustments based on developments in crime modes, and consistent political commitments from governments. With this approach, international legal cooperation is not only reactive, but also proactive and strategic.

Abel 3. Strategy to Strengthen International Legal Cooperation in Transnational Narcotics Countermeasures

Strategy Aspects	Main Focus of Strengthening	Objectives and Expected Impact
Strengthening the Legal Framework and Harmoning Regulations	Settlement of national law with the international convention on narcotics	Reducing juridical barriers, increasing the legitimacy and trust of partner countries
Optimization of Extradition and Reciprocal Legal Assistance (MLA)	Simplification of procedures, expansion of agreements and flexibility of legal approach	Accelerating case handling and improving the effectiveness of cross-border law enforcement
Strengthening National Institutional Coordination	Establishment of an integrated cross-agency coordination mechanism	Prevent overlap of authority and improve national policy consistency
Increasing the Human Resources Capacity of Law Enforcement Officers	International law, intelligence, digital forensics and negotiation training	Improving the professionalism of the apparatus and the quality of international cooperation

Utilization of Technology and Integrated Information Systems	Integration of national databases with INTERPOL and UNODC	Accelerating information exchange and improving early detection of narcotics networks
Strengthening Operational and Regional Cooperation	Joint operations, joint patrols and ASEAN cooperation	Cutting off narcotics trafficking routes and narrowing the space for transnational networks
Sustainable and Long-Term Policy-Based Approach	Periodic evaluations, policy adaptations and political commitments	Ensuring the sustainability, effectiveness and adaptability of international cooperation

Overall, the strategy to strengthen effective and sustainable international legal cooperation in combating transnational narcotics crimes in Indonesia must be carried out through strengthening the legal framework, optimizing extradition mechanisms and legal assistance, improving institutional coordination, developing human resource capacity, utilizing technology, and strengthening operational and regional cooperation. This integrated and long-term strategy will improve Indonesia's ability to deal with transnational narcotics crimes more effectively and sustainably.

The discussion in this paper shows that transnational narcotics crime is a complex problem and cannot be handled only through a national legal approach. The findings described in the previous section show that narcotics networks operate across borders, taking advantage of differences in jurisdictions, legal systems, and gaps in cooperation between countries. Therefore, international legal cooperation is an inseparable element in efforts to counter narcotics crimes in Indonesia.

The results of the analysis of the role and implementation of international legal cooperation show that Indonesia already has a fairly strong normative foundation. Indonesia's participation in various international legal instruments and regional and global cooperation mechanisms reflects the country's commitment to combating transnational narcotics crimes. In practice, the cooperation has resulted in a number of successes, such as intelligence exchanges, joint operations, and the disclosure of cross-border narcotics networks. These findings confirm that international legal cooperation has a strategic role in expanding the reach of Indonesian law enforcement, especially when the perpetrator, evidence, or flow of funds is outside the national jurisdiction.

However, the discussion in this paper also confirms that this success is not fully optimal. The implementation of international legal cooperation still faces various structural and systemic obstacles. Legal constraints, such as differences in legal systems and limitations in extradition treaties, suggest that international cooperation does not always run smoothly even if countries are bound by the same convention. In this context, it can be seen that international law is coordinated, not coercive, so its effectiveness depends heavily on the alignment of policies and commitments of each country.

In addition to legal constraints, this discussion also highlighted the importance of institutional factors in determining the effectiveness of international cooperation. The findings of the study show that coordination between law enforcement agencies in the country is still a serious challenge. The large number of institutions involved in the handling of transnational narcotics often causes fragmentation of authority and slow decision-making. This has a direct impact on Indonesia's response to requests for international legal assistance and proactive cooperation initiatives. This discussion strengthened the view that strengthening international cooperation must start from strengthening institutional governance at the national level.

Furthermore, the technical constraints discussed in this paper show that the dynamics of narcotics crimes develop much faster than formal legal mechanisms. Transnational narcotics networks have taken advantage of technological advancements, global logistics routes, and the international financial system to evade detection. Meanwhile, international legal cooperation procedures still tend to be bureaucratic and take a long time. This discrepancy is one of the main factors why law enforcement is often only able to reach lower-level actors, while the main actors of the network remain difficult to touch.

The discussion of these findings shows that the strategy of strengthening international legal cooperation cannot be carried out partially. An approach that only focuses on the formation of international agreements without being balanced with strengthening national capacity will result in symbolic cooperation. Therefore, the solutions offered in this paper emphasize the importance of harmonizing national law with international law, building institutional capacity, and utilizing technology as a complementary strategy.

In the context of legal harmonization, this discussion shows that the adjustment of national regulations to international standards does not mean reducing state sovereignty. On the contrary, harmonization strengthens Indonesia's position in international cooperation because it provides legal certainty for partner countries. With a harmonized legal framework, extradition processes, mutual legal assistance, and cross-border asset tracing can be carried out more effectively and efficiently. This discussion reinforces the argument that international law and national law should be seen as mutually supportive systems, not in conflict.

Furthermore, the discussion on optimizing bilateral, regional, and multilateral cooperation emphasized that Indonesia's geographical and political position provides strategic opportunities in countering transnational narcotics. As a major country in the Southeast Asian region, Indonesia has an important role in encouraging the strengthening of regional cooperation, especially under the ASEAN framework. These discussions show that solid regional cooperation can be a counterweight to the limitations of bilateral cooperation, especially in dealing with narcotics networks operating in border areas.

The role of international organizations such as Interpol and UNODC is also an important highlight in this discussion. These organizations serve not only as a forum for cooperation, but also as a center for information exchange, capacity building, and the development of global standards. These discussions underlined that Indonesia's active involvement in these forums must be followed by maximum utilization of available facilities and support, so that international cooperation does not stop at the diplomatic level alone.

Discussions related to institutional capacity building and law enforcement technology show that human and technological factors are the key to the sustainability of international cooperation. Law enforcement officials who have a strong understanding of international law and transnational crimes will be able to bridge the differences in the legal system between countries. On the other hand, the use of digital technology and data analysis is an urgent need to keep up with the sophistication of narcotics networks. This discussion emphasized that investment in human resources and technology is not just a policy choice, but a strategic imperative.

Overall, the discussion in this paper shows that the three problem formulations are interrelated and form a unified analysis. The role of international legal cooperation cannot be separated from the obstacles faced in its implementation, and strengthening strategies can only be effective if they are designed based on a complete understanding of these two aspects. The discussion also showed that countering transnational narcotics crime requires a long-term, sustained approach, not just a reactive response to specific cases.

Thus, this discussion confirms that the main contribution of this paper lies in the effort to relate the normative aspects of international law with the reality of law enforcement practices in Indonesia. Through a comprehensive analysis of the roles, constraints, and strategies for strengthening international legal cooperation, this paper is expected to provide a more complete perspective for the development of transnational narcotics countermeasures policies in Indonesia, as well as enrich academic discourse in the field of international law and transnational organized crime.

CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion presented above, it can be concluded that international legal cooperation plays a strategic role in addressing transnational narcotics crimes in Indonesia. The transnational nature of narcotics crimes makes them difficult to effectively combat through national legal mechanisms alone due to the complexity of criminal networks, differences in jurisdiction, and the use of international technology and logistics systems by perpetrators. Through international legal cooperation, including extradition, mutual legal assistance, intelligence exchange, and joint law enforcement operations, Indonesia can extend the reach of its enforcement efforts beyond national borders. However, the implementation of such cooperation continues to face various challenges, including legal disparities, institutional limitations, and technical operational constraints, which affect the effectiveness of efforts to dismantle transnational narcotics networks.

Therefore, strengthening international legal cooperation must be carried out through a planned, integrated, and sustainable strategy that emphasizes the harmonization of national legislation with international legal instruments, improved coordination among law enforcement institutions, enhanced human resource capacity, and the utilization of advanced law enforcement technologies. This strategy is not only intended to improve the effectiveness of prosecuting transnational narcotics offenders but also to strengthen Indonesia’s position as an active, credible, and committed participant within the international legal cooperation framework. Through a comprehensive and long-term approach, Indonesia can better address the challenges posed by transnational narcotics crimes while upholding the principles of state sovereignty, legal certainty, and international cooperation.

REFERENCES

Batkormbawa, M. P. (2025). "Narcotics Crime as Transnational Organized Crime in Indonesia." *Judge Journal*, Cattleya DF. Available at: https://journal.cattleyadf.org/index.php/Judge/article/view/1358

Bunga, A., & Bunga, B. (2025). "Methods for Combating Transnational Crime in Indonesia." *International Journal of Law Studies and Humanities*, 3(1), highlighting post-2020 strategies. Available at: https://journal.lps2h.com/ijlsh/article/view/162
Diantha, I. M. P., Sh, M., Wisanjaya, I. G. P. E., & Sh, M. (2023). *Analisis Kejahatan Transnasional dalam Berbagai Instrumen Hukum Internasional*. Prenada Media.
Djatmiko, A. (2024). *Dinamika Kerja Sama Internasional: Penanggulangan Peredaran Gelap Narkotika di Asia Tenggara*. Penerbit Andi.
Farras, H. T. I. (2024). *Kerjasama Badan Narkotika Nasional (Bnn Ri) Dengan Office Of The Narcotics Control Board (Oncb Thailand) Dalam Menghadapi Peredaran Narkoba Di Indonesia (Tahun 2021-2023)* (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Komputer Indonesia).
Fikri, F. Q. A. (2024). Analisis Kebijakan dan Penegakan Hukum Pidana Transnasional Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Narkotika di Asia Tenggara. *Jurnal Terekam Jejak*, *2*(2), 1-15.
Harkrisnowo, H. (2021). "Transnational Organized Crime: In the Perspective of Criminal Law and Criminology." *Indonesian Journal of International Law*, 1(2). Available at: https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/ijil/vol1/iss2/6/
Herindrasti, V. L. S. (2025). Drug-free ASEAN 2025: Tantangan indonesia dalam penanggulangan penyalahgunaan narkoba. *Jurnal Hubungan Internasional*, *7*(1), 19-33.
Kartika, A. (2023). "Law Enforcement Strategies for Transnational Money Laundering from Corruption in Indonesia." *Journal of Law and Sustainable Society*, focusing on international cooperation elements. Available at: https://ojs.journalsdg.org/jlss/article/view/628
Krylova, Y. (2024). *Hubs of illicit trade in the global economy*. Taylor & Francis.
Laksito, J., Idris, M. F., & Waryanto, A. (2024). Hak dan Kewajiban Negara dalam Mengatasi Kejahatan Lintas Batas di Era Digital: Pendekatan Analisis Normatif. *Hakim: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum Dan Sosial*, *2*(4), 774-790.
Latipulhayat, A. (2021). *Hukum internasional: Sumber-sumber hukum*. Sinar Grafika.
Mitchell, J. N. (2021). "Transnational Organised Crime in Indonesia: The Need for International Cooperation." *Udayana Journal of Law and Society*, 1(1), 177-200. Available at: https://lawjournal.ub.ac.id/index.php/law/article/view/45
Naibaho, N. (2023). "Victim Protection and the Dynamic Situation of Human Trafficking in Indonesia." *ScholarHub UI Publications*, addressing drug trafficking victims under international norms. Available at: https://scholar.ui.ac.id/en/publications/victim-protection-and-the-dynamic-situation-of-human-trafficking-/
Obokata, T. (2021). "The Value of International Law in Combating Transnational Organized Crime in the Asia-Pacific." *Asian Journal of International Law*, 7(2), 268-293. Available at: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/asian-journal-of-international-law/article/value-of-international-law-in-combating-transnational-organized-crime/
Prayuda, R., & Harto, S. (2020). ASEAN dan Kejahatan Transnasional Narkotika (Dinamika, Tantangan dan Hambatan).
Purba, S. H. (2025). "Transnational Organised Crime (TOC) in Indonesia: Case of Indonesian Citizens in Cambodia." *Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar*, Universitas Pasundan. Available at: https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/pendas/article/view/25610
Puro, M. H., Afif Alamsyah, S. H., & Makarao, M. T (2022). *Hukum Kejahatan Transnasional Dan Pencucian Uang*. wawasan Ilmu.
Ristanti, Y., & Nirmala, A. Z. (2025). Indonesia Peredaran Gelap Narkotika Perspektif Three Pillars Minimisation. *Jurnal Risalah Kenotariatan*, *6*(1), 118-141.
Siahaan, B. M. (2023). Peran Bea Dan Cukai Dalam Pengawasan Penyeludupan Narkotika Pada Kantor Pengawasan Dan Pelayanan Bea Dan Cukai Tipe Madya Pabean B Kualanamu.

Wirandy, M. A., & Syaufi, A. (2025). Optimalisasi Fungsi Intelijen Kepolisian Dalam Penanganan Kejahata Terorganisir Transnasional. *Jurnal Kolaboratif Sains*, *8*(6), 3584-3596.

Yolanda (2024). "Transnational Narcotics Trafficking and the Role of NCB Interpol Indonesia in West Java (2020-2023)." *Jurnal Ilmiah Wawasan Pendidikan*, emphasizing global conventions and joint operations. Available at: https://jurnal.peneliti.net/index.php/JIWP/article/view/11682

Yuantisya (2025). "Financial Flow Tracing Strategy in Uncovering Narcotics Trafficking Networks in Indonesia." *Syiah Kuala Law Journal*, integrating narcotics law with international standards. Available at: https://jurnal.usk.ac.id/SKLJ/article/view/46098