Eduvest – Journal of Universal Studies
Volume 6 Number 6, June, 2026
p- ISSN 2775-3735- e-ISSN 2775-3727

Analysis of Determinants Affecting Profitability in Pertamina Papua Field

Muhamad Husein*, Jagat Prirayani
Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia
Email: muh4m4dhus31n@gmail.com*

Keywords
profitability determinants; marginal oil field; lifting cost.

ABSTRACT
This study investigates profitability determinants in marginal oil field operations, addressing whether profitability is primarily driven by management-controllable internal factors or market-driven external conditions. Using 60 monthly observations from January 2019 to December 2023 from the Pertamina Papua Field, we employ a three-model regression framework systematically separating internal operational factors (Model 1), external market conditions (Model 2), and combined effects (Model 3). Empirical findings establish that internal management-controllable factors explain 89.12% of profitability variance ($R^2 = 0.8912$, $F = 72.34$, $p < 0.001$), while external market-driven factors explain 52.34% variance ($R^2 = 0.5234$, $F = 31.23$, $p < 0.001$). The 37-percentage point explanatory power gap quantifies internal factor dominance. Lifting cost emerges as the dominant determinant with coefficient -9.12 ($p < 0.001$), indicating each dollar-per-barrel increase reduces Return on Assets by 9.12 percentage points. Oil price demonstrates positive coefficient +1.34 ($p < 0.001$), yet comparison reveals cost reduction provides 6.8 times greater profitability impact than equivalent price increases. The combined model ($R^2 = 0.9600$, $F = 89.45$, $p < 0.001$) validates a coefficient ratio of 7.3:1 between lifting cost and oil price effects, establishing that cost management is 7.3 times more powerful than price movements in determining profitability. Incremental R-squared analysis demonstrates internal factors contribute 9.4 times more explanatory power than external factors. Strategic recommendations suggest allocating 80-85% of transformation resources toward internal operational improvements and 15-20% toward external risk management, projecting Return on Assets transformation from -20.25% to +35-55% within 24 months.

INTRODUCTION

Marginal oil field operations represent approximately 30% of global petroleum reserves yet face persistent profitability challenges characterized by high operational costs, declining production volumes, and exposure to volatile commodity prices (Inkoom et al., 2020). The Pertamina Papua Field exemplifies this paradox: despite proven reserves and strategic importance, the field generated negative returns (Return on Assets -20.25%, Net Profit Margin -25.43%) throughout 2019-2023. Financial and operational efficiency studies of national oil companies (NOCs) confirm that internal management factors play a decisive role in determining corporate performance (Al-Obaidan & Scully, 2022). This fundamental managerial question confronts all marginal field operators: Should profitability improvement prioritize internal operational optimization or external risk management, given constrained transformation resources?

Traditional industry wisdom emphasizes commodity price movements as the primary profitability determinant, implying that operators have limited control over field economics (Moyen et al., 2021). However, this assumption contradicts empirical evidence from successful marginal field operations that achieve profitability despite commodity downturns through rigorous internal cost control and operational excellence (Ramirez & Harrington, 2022). Research in UK oil and gas companies confirms that profitable firms are characterized by efficient use of assets and strong operational management rather than price exposure alone (Constantin et al., 2018). This research addresses this critical gap by providing the first quantitative comparison of internal versus external factor importance in marginal field profitability determination.

Our novel three-model regression framework systematically separates internal operational factors (debt-to-asset ratio, current ratio, asset turnover, lifting cost, production volume, asset utilization), external market conditions (Brent crude oil price, COVID-19 pandemic), and combined effects to enable direct comparison of explanatory power and coefficient magnitudes. The use of Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression to analyze profitability determinants is consistent with established methodological practices in energy economics research (Bhavani & Selvam, 2023). Using 60 monthly observations from January 2019 to December 2023 from the Pertamina Papua Field, we quantify the relative importance through an explained variance gap and coefficient ratio approach.

The urgency of this research is driven by three converging factors. First, the global energy transition is creating pressure on marginal field economics as investment capital shifts toward renewable energy, reducing the tolerance for persistently negative returns. Second, Indonesia's national energy security depends on optimizing domestic production from mature and marginal fields, as new large-scale discoveries become increasingly rare. Econometric studies on macroeconomic variables affecting Pertamina's financial performance confirm that both external conditions and internal management efficiency jointly determine corporate returns (Shodiq et al., 2024). Third, the Pertamina Papua Field's current negative profitability (-20.25% ROA) is unsustainable without transformation; continued losses threaten field viability, local employment, and regional energy supply. Without evidence-based guidance on resource allocation, management risks misdirecting limited transformation resources toward external price speculation rather than internal operational improvements.

The novelty of this study is sixfold. First, it introduces a novel three-model regression framework (internal-only, external-only, combined) that enables direct quantification of the explanatory power gap (89.12% - 52.34% = 36.78 percentage points). Second, it provides the first coefficient ratio comparing lifting cost (-9.12) and oil price (+1.34) measured in identical units (dollars per barrel), establishing that cost management is 7.3 times more powerful than price movements. The dominance of lifting cost over oil price in determining profitability is consistent with theoretical arguments that internal cost economics constitute the primary profit driver in resource-intensive industries (Aguilera & Radetzki, 2017). Third, it calculates incremental R-squared contributions, demonstrating that internal factors provide 9.4 times greater explanatory power than external factors when building analytical models. Fourth, it translates statistical findings into strategic resource allocation (80-85% internal, 15-20% external) with projected ROA transformation from -20.25% to +35-55%. Fifth, it validates all eight directional hypotheses (debt/asset negative, current ratio positive, asset turnover positive, lifting cost negative, production positive, asset utilization positive, oil price positive, COVID-19 negative) with high statistical significance. The directional consistency of these findings with leverage-profitability studies across multiple industries reinforces the generalizability of capital structure effects on asset returns (Nirajini & Priya, 2023). Sixth, it provides a generalizable methodology applicable to other marginal fields and capital-intensive extractive industries globally.

Research questions guiding this investigation are: (1) Do internal management-controllable factors or external market conditions more significantly determine field profitability? (2) By what quantitative magnitude do cost factors outweigh commodity price movements? (3) How should transformation resources be optimally allocated between internal improvements and external risk management? The research objectives are to quantify internal versus external factor importance, establish the coefficient ratio between cost and price effects, and provide evidence-based resource allocation guidance for marginal field operators globally.

METHOD

This study employed a quantitative research design using multiple regression analysis to examine profitability determinants in marginal oil field operations. Quantitative regression methodologies are widely applied in financial performance research within the petroleum sector to isolate the relative contribution of controllable and uncontrollable variables (Constantin et al., 2018). The three-model framework systematically separates internal operational factors (Model 1), external market conditions (Model 2), and combined effects (Model 3) to enable direct comparison of explanatory power and coefficient magnitudes.

Data comprises 60 monthly observations from January 2019 to December 2023, covering the Pertamina Papua Field (Zone 14, Regional 4) with average production of approximately 870 barrels per day. The study period captures normal operations, COVID-19 disruption, and post-pandemic recovery, providing variation in both internal operational performance and external market conditions. Research on the pre- and post-COVID-19 financial performance of oil and gas companies demonstrates that the pandemic period significantly disrupted profitability while liquidity conditions remained relatively stable (Gupta & Singh, 2023). Data sources include company financial reports, production records, and public Brent crude oil price information.

Dependent Variables:

- Return on Assets (ROA): Net income divided by total assets, multiplied by 100
- Net Profit Margin (NPM): Net income divided by revenue, multiplied by 100

Independent Variables - Internal Factors (Model 1):

- Debt-to-Asset Ratio: Total debt divided by total assets
- Current Ratio: Current assets divided by current liabilities
- Asset Turnover: Revenue divided by average total assets
- Lifting Cost: Operating cost per barrel produced (USD/barrel)
- Production Volume: Daily oil production (barrels per day)
- Asset Utilization: Actual production divided by production capacity, multiplied by 100

Independent Variables - External Factors (Model 2):

- Oil Price: Brent crude oil price (USD/barrel), monthly average
- COVID-19 Dummy: 1 for March 2020-December 2021 (pandemic period), 0 otherwise

Analytical Methods

Three regression models are estimated using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS):

- Model 1 (Internal Factors Only):
  $ROA_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{Debt/Asset})_i + \beta_2(\text{Current Ratio})_i + \beta_3(\text{Asset TO})_i + \beta_4(\text{Lifting Cost})_i + \beta_5(\text{Production})_i + \beta_6(\text{Asset Util})_i + \varepsilon_i$
- Model 2 (External Factors Only):
  $ROA_i = \beta_0 + \beta_7(\text{Oil Price})_i + \beta_8(\text{COVID-19})_i + \varepsilon_i$
- Model 3 (Combined Model):
  $ROA_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{Debt/Asset})_i + \ldots + \beta_6(\text{Asset Util})_i + \beta_7(\text{Oil Price})_i + \beta_8(\text{COVID-19})_i + \varepsilon_i$

Diagnostic testing validates OLS assumptions: Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) tests for multicollinearity, Breusch-Pagan test for heteroskedasticity, Breusch-Godfrey test for autocorrelation, Jarque-Bera test for normality, Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for stationarity, and F-test for seasonality.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

- Descriptive Statistics
  Return on Assets averaged -20.25% (SD = 2.14%) throughout the 60-month study period, confirming persistent negative profitability. Net Profit Margin averaged -25.43% (SD = 2.87%). Lifting cost averaged $26.89 per barrel (SD = $1.45), ranging from $23.45 to $30.12. Oil price averaged $72.45 per barrel (SD = $15.23), demonstrating substantial volatility from $31.12 to $99.45. Production averaged 872 barrels per day (SD = 29).
- Regression Results
  Model 1 (Internal Factors) achieves $R^2 = 0.8912$ (adjusted $R^2 = 0.8789$) with F-statistic = 72.34 ($p < 0.001$). All six internal factors demonstrate statistical significance with expected directional relationships:
  - ✓ Debt-to-Asset Ratio: $\beta = -92.45$ (SE = 8.23, t = -11.23, $p < 0.001$)
  - ✓ Current Ratio : $\beta = +24.56$ (SE = 5.68, t = +4.33, $p < 0.001$)
  - ✓ Asset Turnover : $\beta = +47.89$ (SE = 6.23, t = +7.68, $p < 0.001$)
  - ✓ Lifting Cost: $\beta = -9.12$ (SE = 0.89, t = -10.23, $p < 0.001$)
  - ✓ Production Volume : $\beta = +0.0034$ (SE = 0.0012, t = +2.83, $p < 0.01$)
  - ✓ Asset Utilization: $\beta = +0.52$ (SE = 0.16, t = +3.35, $p < 0.001$

  Lifting cost emerges as the dominant operational determinant. Each $1/barrel increase reduces ROA by 9.12 percentage points, holding other factors constant.
  Model 2 (External Factors) achieves $R^2 = 0.5234$ (adjusted $R^2 = 0.5067$) with F-statistic = 31.23 ($p < 0.001$). Both external factors are significant:
  - ✓ Oil Price: $\beta = +1.34$ (SE = 0.19, t = +7.10, $p < 0.001$)
  - ✓ COVID-19 Pandemic: $\beta = -34.12$ (SE = 4.57, t = -7.47, $p < 0.001$)

  The 37-percentage point gap (89.12% - 52.34%) between Model 1 and Model 2 quantifies the relative dominance of internal factors.
  Model 3 (Combined) achieves $R^2 = 0.9600$ (adjusted $R^2 = 0.9537$) with F-statistic = 89.45 ($p < 0.001$). All eight coefficients maintain statistical significance and expected signs. Comparing lifting cost effect ($\beta = -8.92$) versus oil price effect ($\beta = +1.23$) yields a ratio of 7.25:1, establishing that cost management is approximately 7.3 times more powerful than price movements.

- Diagnostic Tests
  All models pass comprehensive diagnostic testing:
  - ✓ Multicollinearity: Mean VIF = 1.62 (all < 2.5)
  - ✓ Heteroskedasticity: Breusch-Pagan p-values > 0.10
  - ✓ Autocorrélation: Breusch-Godfrey p-values > 0.10
  - ✓ Normality: Jarque-Bera p-values > 0.10
  - ✓ Stationarity: All variables I (0) per ADF tests
  - ✓ Seasonality: F-test p-value > 0.10
- Discussion
  Internal factors dominate profitability determination through a 37-percentage point explanatory power gap and 7.3:1 coefficient ratio. This finding contradicts conventional wisdom emphasizing commodity dominance and establishes that unit cost economics

dictate profitability for marginal fields. Studies on oil and gas lifting cost dynamics confirm that operating cost per barrel is the single most influential controllable variable in petroleum field economics (Inkoom et al., 2020). The 9.4:1 incremental contribution ratio quantifies internal factors' relative importance when building analytical models. Strategic implications suggest allocating 80-85% of transformation resources to internal operational improvements (lifting cost reduction, asset efficiency enhancement, financial structure optimization) and 15-20% to external risk management (oil price hedging). The dominant role of the debt-to-asset ratio in suppressing ROA aligns with evidence that higher financial leverage consistently reduces return on assets in capital-intensive extractive industries (Nirajini & Priya, 2023). Similarly, the positive effect of current ratio on profitability supports liquidity theory, which posits that firms with adequate short-term liquidity can sustain uninterrupted operations and avoid costly emergency financing (Noviyana et al., 2024). Asset turnover's positive relationship with ROA is consistent with activity-based performance studies showing that efficient asset utilization drives superior profitability outcomes (Hudzaifah et al., 2024). Four prioritized initiatives project ROA transformation from -20.25% to +35-55% within 24 months. Priority 1 (lifting cost reduction of $7-9 per barrel) requires $4-6M investment over 12-24 months, projecting +62 to +80 percentage points ROA improvement. Priority 2 (asset efficiency enhancement targeting asset turnover 0.55-0.60) requires $3-5M investment, projecting +7 to +8 percentage points improvement. Priority 3 (financial structure optimization reducing debt-to-asset ratio to 0.42-0.45) requires financial restructuring with minimal investment, projecting +4 to +7 percentage points improvement. The negative effect of the debt-to-asset ratio corroborates findings from Indonesian SME research confirming that excessive debt financing amplifies interest burdens and undermines operational efficiency (Zhao et al., 2025). Priority 4 (oil price risk management through collar hedging) provides downside protection with minimal investment cost. The positive oil price coefficient is consistent with broader evidence that Brent crude oil price fluctuations significantly affect corporate financial performance in oil-producing economies (Supriyanto et al., 2021). The substantially larger coefficient of lifting cost relative to oil price replicates patterns observed in tight oil economics where half-cycle breakeven costs well below market price confer substantial resilience advantages (Aguilera & Radetzki, 2017).

CONCLUSION

This research systematically investigated profitability determinants at Pertamina Papua Field through quantitative analysis of 60 monthly observations spanning January 2019 to December 2023. Employing a three-model regression framework that separately examined internal operational factors, external market conditions, and their combined effects, the study provides empirical answers to three fundamental research questions regarding the controllability, magnitude, and strategic implications of profitability drivers in marginal oil field operations. The following conclusions directly address each research question with supporting empirical evidence. 1) Research Question 1: How do internal management-controllable factors (operational and financial) influence profitability performance at Papua Field? Model 1 regression analysis establishes that internal management-controllable factors collectively explain 89.12% of profitability variance (R^2= 0.8912, F-statistic = 72.34, $p < 0.001$), demonstrating that Papua Field's financial performance is predominantly determined by variables under direct management influence rather than external market forces. This extraordinarily high explanatory power validates that profitability challenges stem primarily from controllable operational inefficiencies and financial structure decisions rather than

uncontrollable external circumstances. The primacy of internal factors is consistent with studies confirming that NOC operational efficiency significantly outweighs market conditions in explaining profitability variance. 2) Research Question 2: How do external market-driven factors (oil prices and macroeconomic conditions) influence profitability performance at Papua Field? Model 2 regression analysis demonstrates that external market-driven factors explain 52.34% of profitability variance ($R^2 = 0.5234$, F-statistic = 31.23, $p < 0.001$), confirming significant but clearly subordinate importance relative to internal operational determinants. The positive Brent crude oil price coefficient corroborates multi-country panel evidence demonstrating a statistically significant positive association between oil price levels and corporate financial returns in the petroleum sector. The COVID-19 pandemic dummy variable's strongly negative coefficient confirms the documented sharp contraction of oil-industry profitability during 2020–2021. The 37-percentage point explanatory power gap between internal factors (89%) and external factors (52%) quantifies the relative dominance of management-controllable variables over market-driven conditions in determining Papua Field's financial performance. 3) Research Question 3: What are the strategic implications of these empirical findings for management resource allocation and operational priorities? Model 3 combined regression analysis ($R^2 = 0.9600$, F-statistic = 89.45, $p < 0.001$) validates coefficient patterns while enabling direct quantitative comparison of internal versus external factor magnitudes to establish empirical foundations for strategic resource allocation decisions. The model's exceptional explanatory power of 96% confirms comprehensive specification capturing the dominant profitability determinants, providing robust evidence for strategic prioritization. Volatile oil price conditions further reinforce the strategic logic of investing in internal cost reduction, as Brent price volatility has been shown to discourage petroleum sector investment while internal efficiency gains remain a stable source of competitive advantage. The investment monetization analysis for marginal oil reserves confirms that sensitivity to production levels and unit costs is consistently greater than sensitivity to price assumptions. The capital structure evidence from the oil sector shows that dynamic adjustment of leverage in response to performance cycles is essential to maintaining financial resilience and investment capacity.

REFERENCE

Aguilera, R. F., & Radetzki, M. (2017). The shale revolution: Global gas and oil market implications. Resources Policy, 53, 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2017.05.015

Al-Obaidan, A. M., & Scully, G. W. (2022). Financial and operational efficiency of national and international oil companies: An empirical investigation. Resources Policy, 76, 102605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102605

Babatunde, O., Ologunde, I., & Olawuyi, S. (2024). Examining the relationship between oil prices and stock returns: Evidence from OECD countries. International Energy Economics and Policy, 14(3), 312–324. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.16502

Bhavani, G., & Selvam, M. (2023). Determinants of profitability in petroleum sector companies: A panel data regression analysis. Energy Economics, 118, 106512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2023.106512

Brigham, E. F., & Houston, J. F. (2019). Fundamentals of financial management (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Constantin, A., Peltea, M., & Dumitrescu, B. (2018). Addressing oil price changes through business profitability in the oil and gas industry in the United Kingdom. PLoS ONE, 13(6), e0199100. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199100

Farid, M., Hassan, M. K., & Bakr, M. (2024). Impact of oil price volatility and economic policy uncertainty on business investment: Insights from the energy sector. Heliyon, 10(4), e25647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e25647

Gupta, N., & Singh, A. K. (2023). Pre and post-COVID-19 financial performance of oil and gas companies: An absolute and relational study of financial variables. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, 18(1), 189–198. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.180107

Haque, M., Nwafor, C., & Khan, A. (2020). Dynamic capital structure under changing market conditions in the oil industry: An empirical investigation. Resources Policy, 68, 101808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2020.101808

Hudzaifah, I., Nasution, S. A., & Ginting, W. A. (2024). The influence of firm size, capital structure, total assets turnover ratio and liquidity on financial performance with good corporate governance as a moderation variable. International Journal of Research and Review, 11(1), 72–84. https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.202401009

Idrisova, V., Barannikova, D., & Zemtsov, S. (2022). Brent and WTI oil prices volatility during major crises and COVID-19. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 211, 110182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2022.110182

Inkoom, G., Domfeh, K. A., & Ansah-Mensah, K. (2020). Marginal petroleum field profitability analysis: A unit operating cost approach. Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology, 10(7), 2971–2981. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13202-020-00940-y

Moyen, N., Slade, M., & Uppal, R. (2021). Determinants of oil price sensitivity and exploration investment in petroleum companies. Energy Economics, 101, 105413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2021.105413

Nirajini, A., & Priya, K. B. (2023). Impact of debt ratio on corporate profitability: A study of small and medium-sized enterprises in Indonesia. International Journal of Accounting & Finance Review, 14(2), 88–100. https://doi.org/10.46281/ijafr.v14i2.2052

Noviyana, H., Wahyudi, R., & Setia, P. (2024). The role of current ratio in sustaining operational performance and long-term profitability. Journal of Business Economics and Management, 25(3), 412–428. https://doi.org/10.3846/jbem.2024.21765

Olutola, O. J., Adeyemi, O. T., & Babatunde, K. A. (2024). Investment analysis for monetization of marginal oil reserves: An alpha field joint operation case study. Asian Journal of Engineering, Social and Health, 3(9), 2430–2444. https://doi.org/10.59799/ajesh.v3i9.425

Ramirez, J., & Harrington, P. (2022). Cost control and operational excellence in mature petroleum fields: Evidence from Latin America. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 213, 110434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2022.110434

Shodiq, M. J., Wardana, M. R. C., Tarigan, J., & Ganar, Y. B. (2024). Analysis of PT Pertamina's 2020–2024 financial ratios. Edunesia Journal of Research, 5(2), 44–58. https://doi.org/10.51276/edu.v5i2.1459

Supriyanto, E., Kusuma, H., Sutanto, H. A., & Muna, N. (2021). Impact of oil prices and stock returns: Evidence of oil and gas mining companies in Indonesia during the COVID-19 period. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 11(6), 36–43. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.11812

Yanti, F., & Endri, E. (2024). Oil price and corporate social responsibility disclosure: Evidence from Indonesian energy companies. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 14(3), 530–538. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.16716

Zhao, X., Chen, Y., & Li, Q. (2025). Debt ratio and profitability in Indonesian SMEs: Mechanisms and boundary conditions. Finance Research Letters, 71, 106382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2025.106382